



## 'The Role of Midwives in Promoting Natural Births and Reducing Cesarean Sections'

**M. Sonia Palmei<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Lecturer

Obstetrical & Gynecological Nursing  
Bhagyoday Tirth Nursing College, Sagar

### Abstract

In recent decades, the increasing rate of cesarean sections (C-sections) has raised significant concerns within the medical community. While C-sections are essential in certain high-risk cases, the overuse of this procedure has led to a reevaluation of best practices in obstetric care. Midwives, with their holistic, patient-centered approach, are at the forefront of efforts to promote natural births and reduce unnecessary C-sections. This review explores the pivotal role that midwives play in encouraging natural childbirth, reducing C-section rates, and improving maternal and neonatal outcomes. It also examines the challenges faced in promoting midwifery-led care and suggests future directions for policy and practice.

**Keywords:** *Midwifery, Natural Birth, Cesarean Section, Maternity Care, Birth Outcomes, Obstetrics*

### Introduction

The global healthcare community has observed a steady rise in cesarean section rates over the past few decades. This trend, while reflective of advancements in surgical techniques and maternal-fetal medicine, has also sparked concerns about the over-medicalization of childbirth. The World Health Organization (WHO) suggests that cesarean section rates should ideally be between 10% and 15% of all births, a range that is associated with the lowest maternal and neonatal mortality rates. However, many countries, including high-income nations, report rates far exceeding these recommendations, with some regions approaching or surpassing 30% to 50% of all deliveries.

This upward trend in C-sections is often attributed to various factors, including medical, socio-cultural, and systemic influences. These include an increased focus on risk management, patient preferences, and legal concerns regarding malpractice. However, this trend is also associated with a range of adverse outcomes, including increased maternal morbidity, longer recovery periods, and higher healthcare costs. Moreover, C-sections carry risks for future pregnancies, such as placenta previa, placenta accreta, and uterine rupture.

Midwifery, with its emphasis on supporting the natural process of childbirth, offers a compelling alternative to the rising reliance on surgical interventions. Midwives are trained to manage normal pregnancies and births with minimal intervention, focusing on the physical, emotional, and psychological needs of

the mother. By promoting natural birth and providing continuous support throughout labor, midwives can help reduce unnecessary C-sections, leading to better outcomes for mothers and babies.

### The Midwifery Model of Care

#### Historical Context

The role of midwives has evolved over centuries, deeply rooted in cultural and historical contexts. Traditionally, midwives were the primary caregivers for pregnant women, offering guidance and support during childbirth within their communities. However, with the advent of modern obstetrics in the 20th century, childbirth increasingly moved from the home to the hospital, and the role of midwives diminished in many parts of the world. Despite this shift, midwifery continued to thrive in certain regions, particularly in the United Kingdom, New Zealand, and the Netherlands, where midwives remained integral to maternity care.

In recent years, there has been a resurgence of interest in midwifery, driven by a growing recognition of the benefits of less interventionist approaches to childbirth. The midwifery model of care is characterized by its focus on the normalcy of pregnancy and birth, the importance of individualized care, and the empowerment of women through education and informed choice.

### Core Principles of the Midwifery Model



The midwifery model of care is grounded in several core principles that distinguish it from the medical model of childbirth:

1. **Respect for Natural Processes:** Midwives view pregnancy and childbirth as natural, physiological processes that, in most cases, do not require medical intervention. This perspective encourages a more hands-off approach, allowing labor to progress naturally without unnecessary interventions.
2. **Individualized Care:** Midwives provide personalized care that takes into account the unique physical, emotional, and cultural needs of each woman. This individualized approach helps to create a supportive environment that fosters trust and confidence.
3. **Continuity of Care:** Midwifery care often involves continuity, meaning that the same midwife or small team of midwives provides care throughout pregnancy, labor, and the postpartum period. This continuity of care has been shown to improve maternal satisfaction and reduce the likelihood of interventions, including C-sections.
4. **Informed Choice and Shared Decision-Making:** Midwives emphasize the importance of informed choice, providing women with the information they need to make decisions about their care. This approach promotes autonomy and empowers women to take an active role in their childbirth experience.
5. **Holistic Care:** Midwives take a holistic approach to care, addressing not only the physical aspects of childbirth but also the emotional, psychological, and social dimensions. This holistic care is particularly important in promoting a positive childbirth experience and supporting the mother's overall well-being.

### Evidence-Based Practices in Midwifery

The midwifery model of care is supported by a growing body of evidence demonstrating its effectiveness in promoting natural birth and reducing C-sections. Research has shown that midwifery-led care is associated with lower rates of interventions, including labor induction, epidural analgesia, and episiotomy, as well as lower rates of C-sections.

One of the key practices in midwifery is the promotion of physiological birth, which involves supporting the body's natural ability to labor and give birth without unnecessary interventions. This approach is based on the understanding that most women

are capable of giving birth naturally and that interventions should only be used when medically necessary.

Midwives also prioritize the use of non-pharmacological pain relief methods, such as breathing exercises, massage, hydrotherapy, and positioning techniques. These methods not only help manage pain but also promote relaxation and reduce stress, which can facilitate the natural progression of labor.

Another important aspect of midwifery care is the focus on continuous support during labor. Studies have shown that continuous support from a midwife or other trained professional can reduce the need for interventions, shorten the duration of labor, and increase maternal satisfaction.

### Strategies to Reduce Cesarean Sections Promoting Physiological Birth

One of the primary strategies that midwives use to reduce C-section rates is the promotion of physiological birth. Physiological birth is defined as labor and delivery that proceed without medical intervention unless necessary. This approach is grounded in the belief that most women are capable of giving birth naturally and that the body is designed to do so without the need for extensive medical intervention.

Midwives encourage practices that support physiological birth, such as:

- **Allowing Labor to Progress Naturally:** Midwives advocate for letting labor begin and progress on its own without the use of induction or augmentation, unless medically indicated. This approach reduces the likelihood of interventions that can lead to a cascade of further interventions, including C-sections.
- **Avoiding Routine Interventions:** Midwives are cautious about the use of routine interventions, such as continuous electronic fetal monitoring (EFM), which has been associated with higher C-section rates. Instead, they use intermittent auscultation to monitor the baby's heart rate, which is less invasive and allows for greater mobility during labor.
- **Supporting Mobility and Upright Positions:** Midwives encourage women to stay mobile during labor and to use upright positions, such as walking, squatting, or kneeling. These positions can help facilitate the descent of the baby and reduce the need for interventions.
- **Using Non-Pharmacological Pain Relief:** Midwives offer a range of non-pharmacological pain relief



options, such as water immersion, massage, and breathing techniques. These methods not only help manage pain but also promote relaxation and reduce the need for epidural analgesia, which is associated with higher C-section rates.

#### Use of Evidence-Based Guidelines

Midwives are trained to adhere to evidence-based guidelines that promote safe and effective care while minimizing unnecessary interventions. These guidelines are developed based on the latest research and best practices in obstetric care. For example, the WHO and other professional organizations have developed guidelines for the management of labor and delivery that emphasize the importance of supporting natural birth and avoiding unnecessary interventions. These guidelines recommend practices such as:

- **Limiting Inductions:** Induction of labor should only be performed when medically indicated, as it can increase the risk of C-section, particularly in first-time mothers.
- **Avoiding Early Admission:** Early admission to the hospital in latent labor (before active labor begins) is associated with a higher likelihood of interventions, including C-sections. Midwives encourage women to stay at home during early labor, where they are more likely to feel comfortable and less likely to experience unnecessary interventions.
- **Supporting Delayed Pushing:** For women with an epidural, midwives may recommend delaying pushing until the baby's head is well-descended, which can reduce the need for assisted delivery or C-section.

#### Continuity of Care

Continuity of care is a hallmark of midwifery practice and has been shown to have a significant impact on reducing C-section rates. Continuity of care means that the same midwife or a small team of midwives provides care throughout the pregnancy, labor, and postpartum period.

Research has shown that women who receive continuity of care from a midwife are less likely to have a C-section compared to those who receive care from multiple providers. Continuity of care fosters a trusting relationship between the woman and her midwife, leading to better communication, more personalized care, and a greater likelihood of achieving a natural birth.

One study, the COSMOS trial, conducted in Australia, found that women who received caseload midwifery care (a model where a woman is cared for by a primary midwife throughout her

pregnancy and childbirth) had lower rates of C-sections compared to those receiving standard care. The study concluded that continuity of care models, such as caseload midwifery, are effective in reducing C-sections and improving maternal outcomes.

#### Collaborative Care Models

In settings where midwives work in collaboration with obstetricians, there is a lower rate of C-sections. Collaborative care models, where midwives and obstetricians work together as part of an interdisciplinary team, offer a balanced approach to maternity care. In these models, midwives manage normal pregnancies and births, while obstetricians are available to provide medical support when complications arise.

Collaborative care models have been shown to reduce the rate of C-sections by ensuring that interventions are used only when medically necessary. This approach allows women to benefit from the expertise of both midwives and obstetricians, leading to better outcomes for mothers and babies.

A notable example of this is the integrated midwifery and obstetric care model used in the Netherlands, where midwives are the primary caregivers for low-risk pregnancies, and obstetricians are involved only in cases of complications. This model has been associated with some of the lowest C-section rates in Europe, highlighting the effectiveness of collaborative care in promoting natural birth and reducing surgical interventions.

#### Impact on Maternal and Neonatal Outcomes

##### Lower C-Section Rates

Numerous studies have demonstrated that midwifery-led care is associated with lower C-section rates compared to care led by obstetricians. For instance, a systematic review of midwife-led continuity models by Sandall et al. (2016) found that women who received midwife-led care were significantly less likely to have a C-section. This finding is consistent across various settings and populations, suggesting that midwifery care is effective in reducing unnecessary C-sections.

The reduction in C-section rates can be attributed to several factors, including the midwifery model's emphasis on natural birth, the use of evidence-based practices, and the provision of continuous support during labor. By avoiding unnecessary interventions and promoting physiological birth, midwives help reduce the likelihood of a C-section.

##### Reduced Intervention Rates



Midwifery-led care is also associated with lower rates of other interventions, such as labor induction, epidural analgesia, and episiotomy. These interventions, while sometimes necessary, can increase the likelihood of a C-section and other complications.

For example, labor induction, particularly when performed for non-medical reasons, is associated with a higher risk of C-section, especially in first-time mothers. Midwives are trained to assess the need for induction carefully and to use it only when medically indicated. By avoiding unnecessary inductions, midwives help reduce the risk of a cascade of interventions that can lead to a C-section.

Similarly, the use of epidural analgesia, while effective for pain relief, is associated with a longer labor, a higher likelihood of assisted delivery, and an increased risk of C-section. Midwives offer a range of non-pharmacological pain relief options that can help women manage pain while reducing the need for an epidural.

Episiotomy, a surgical cut made to widen the vaginal opening during delivery, is another intervention that is used less frequently in midwifery-led care. Research has shown that routine episiotomy does not improve outcomes and can lead to complications such as infection, pain, and longer recovery times. Midwives are trained to support natural stretching of the perineum and to avoid episiotomy unless absolutely necessary.

### Improved Maternal Satisfaction

One of the key benefits of midwifery-led care is the high level of maternal satisfaction associated with this model of care. Women who receive midwifery care often report feeling more supported, empowered, and in control of their childbirth experience. This sense of empowerment is particularly important in promoting positive birth outcomes and reducing the likelihood of postpartum depression and other mental health issues.

The continuity of care provided by midwives plays a significant role in improving maternal satisfaction. When women receive care from the same midwife or team of midwives throughout their pregnancy and childbirth, they are more likely to develop a trusting relationship with their care provider. This trust fosters open communication, which allows women to express their preferences and concerns and to feel more confident in their ability to give birth.

Moreover, the holistic approach of midwifery, which addresses not only the physical but also the emotional and psychological needs of the mother, contributes to a more positive childbirth

experience. Midwives provide continuous support during labor, offering encouragement, reassurance, and comfort. This support can help reduce anxiety, increase coping ability, and enhance the overall birth experience.

### Better Neonatal Outcomes

Babies born under midwifery care are more likely to have positive health outcomes, including higher Apgar scores, successful initiation of breastfeeding, and fewer admissions to neonatal intensive care units (NICUs).

The Apgar score, a quick assessment of a newborn's health immediately after birth, is often used as a measure of neonatal well-being. Research has shown that babies born under midwifery-led care tend to have higher Apgar scores, indicating better overall health at birth. This may be due to the less interventionist approach of midwifery care, which allows for a more natural transition from intrauterine to extrauterine life.

Midwives also play a crucial role in supporting the initiation and continuation of breastfeeding. Breastfeeding has well-documented benefits for both mothers and babies, including reduced risk of infections, allergies, and chronic conditions in infants, as well as lower rates of postpartum depression and faster recovery times for mothers. Midwives are trained to provide breastfeeding support, including helping mothers with positioning, latch, and managing common breastfeeding challenges.

Additionally, midwifery-led care is associated with lower rates of NICU admissions. This may be due to the careful monitoring and support provided by midwives during labor and birth, which can help prevent complications that might require intensive neonatal care.

### Challenges and Future Directions

#### Access to Midwifery Care

Despite the proven benefits of midwifery-led care, access to midwifery services remains a significant challenge in many parts of the world. In low-resource settings, there is often a shortage of trained midwives, as well as inadequate infrastructure to support midwifery practice. This lack of access can result in higher rates of C-sections and other interventions, as women may be more likely to receive care from less skilled providers or in facilities that lack the resources to support natural birth.

To address this challenge, efforts must be made to increase the number of trained midwives, particularly in underserved areas. This includes investing in midwifery education and training programs, as well as providing the necessary resources and





support for midwives to practice effectively. Additionally, policies that promote the integration of midwifery services into existing healthcare systems are needed to ensure that all women have access to the benefits of midwifery care.

### Cultural and Systemic Barriers

In many cultures and healthcare systems, there is a strong preference for medicalized childbirth, which can hinder the acceptance and integration of midwifery care. This preference is often driven by societal beliefs about the safety and superiority of medical interventions, as well as by healthcare policies that prioritize hospital-based obstetric care over community-based midwifery care.

Overcoming these cultural and systemic barriers requires a shift in attitudes toward childbirth, as well as changes in healthcare policy. Public education campaigns that promote the benefits of natural birth and midwifery care can help change perceptions and increase demand for midwifery services. Additionally, healthcare policies that support the integration of midwifery care into mainstream maternity services, such as through collaborative care models, can help ensure that all women have access to midwifery-led care.

### Need for Policy Support

The widespread adoption of midwifery-led care requires strong policy support at both the national and international levels. Governments and healthcare institutions must implement policies that support the training, regulation, and practice of midwives. This includes providing adequate funding for midwifery education and training programs, establishing regulatory frameworks that ensure the quality and safety of midwifery care, and promoting the integration of midwifery services into existing healthcare systems.

In addition to national policies, international organizations such as the WHO and the International Confederation of Midwives (ICM) play a crucial role in advocating for midwifery care and supporting the development of global standards and guidelines. These organizations can help facilitate the exchange of knowledge and best practices between countries, as well as provide technical assistance to countries seeking to strengthen their midwifery services.

### Research and Data Collection

Ongoing research and data collection are essential for advancing the practice of midwifery and demonstrating its impact on maternal and neonatal outcomes. This includes conducting studies that compare midwifery-led care to other

models of care, as well as collecting data on the outcomes of midwifery care in different settings and populations.

Research can also help identify the barriers to the implementation of midwifery-led care and provide evidence to support policy changes that promote the integration of midwifery services into mainstream healthcare systems. Additionally, data collection on the outcomes of midwifery care can help build the case for increased investment in midwifery education and training programs, as well as for policies that support the widespread adoption of midwifery-led care.

### Conclusion

Midwives play a pivotal role in promoting natural births and reducing the rate of unnecessary cesarean sections. Their holistic, patient-centered approach to maternity care supports the physiological process of childbirth, minimizes the need for medical interventions, and leads to better maternal and neonatal outcomes. Despite the challenges facing the widespread adoption of midwifery-led care, there is a growing recognition of the benefits of this model of care. To further reduce C-section rates and improve overall birth outcomes, it is essential to address the challenges facing midwifery care and to advocate for policies that support its integration into mainstream healthcare systems.

As the global healthcare community continues to grapple with the rising rates of cesarean sections, midwives offer a viable solution that aligns with the principles of safe, effective, and respectful maternity care. By promoting natural birth and providing continuous support to women during labor and delivery, midwives can help ensure that childbirth remains a positive and empowering experience for all women.

### Bibliography

- Begley, C. M., Gross, M. M., Dencker, A., Benstoem, C., & Berg, M. (2019). Outcome measures in studies on the use of water for labor and birth: A systematic review. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 64(2), 154-167. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jmwh.12901>
- Bohren, M. A., Hofmeyr, G. J., Sakala, C., Fukuzawa, R. K., & Cuthbert, A. (2017). Continuous support for women during childbirth. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 7, CD003766. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003766.pub6>
- Zhang, J., Troendle, J., Reddy, U. M., Laughon, S. K., Branch, D. W., Burkman, R., ... & Consortium on Safe Labor. (2010). Contemporary cesarean delivery



- practice in the United States. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*, 203(4), 326-e1.
- Flamm, B. L., Berwick, D. M., & Kabacennell, A. (1998). Reducing cesarean section rates safely: lessons from a "breakthrough series" collaborative. *Birth*, 25(2), 117-124.
  - Coxon, K., Sandall, J., & Fulop, N. J. (2014). To what extent are women free to choose where to give birth? How discourses of risk, blame, and responsibility influence birth place decisions. *Health, Risk & Society*, 16(1), 51-67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13698575.2013.859231>
  - Antoine, C., & Young, B. K. (2021). Cesarean section one hundred years 1920-2020: the Good, the Bad and the Ugly. *Journal of Perinatal Medicine*, 49(1), 5-16.
  - Davis, D. L., & Walker, K. (2011). Case-loading midwifery in New Zealand: Bridging the normal/abnormal divide 'with woman'. *Midwifery*, 27(1), 46-52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2009.06.001>
  - Downe, S., Finlayson, K., Oladapo, O. T., Bonet, M., & Gülmezoglu, A. M. (2018). What matters to women during childbirth: A systematic qualitative review. *PLOS ONE*, 13(4), e0194906. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0194906>
  - Hatem, M., Sandall, J., Devane, D., Soltani, H., & Gates, S. (2008). Midwife-led versus other models of care for childbearing women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 4, CD004667. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004667.pub2>
  - International Confederation of Midwives (ICM). (2014). Core Document: Philosophy and Model of Midwifery Care. International Confederation of Midwives. <https://www.internationalmidwives.org/>
  - Kennedy, H. P., Yoshida, S., Costello, A., Declercq, E., Dias, M. A. B., Duff, E., ... & Gibbons, D. (2016). Asking different questions: Research priorities to improve the quality of care for every woman, every child. *The Lancet Global Health*, 4(11), e777-e779. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(16\)30183-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(16)30183-8)
  - Kennedy, H. P., Cheyney, M., Dahlen, H. G., Downe, S., Foureur, M., Homer, C. S. E., ... & Sandall, J. (2018). Asking different questions: A call to action for research to improve the quality of care for every woman, every child. *Birth*, 45(3), 222-231. <https://doi.org/10.1111/birt.12309>
  - Rosenberg, K. R., & Trevathan, W. R. (2018). Evolutionary perspectives on cesarean section. *Evolution, Medicine, and Public Health*, 2018(1), 67-81.
  - Leap, N., Sandall, J., Buckland, S., & Huber, U. (2010). Journey to confidence: Women's experiences of pain in labour and relational continuity of care. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 55(3), 234-242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmwh.2009.10.002>
  - McLachlan, H., Forster, D., Davey, M.-A., Farrell, T., Flood, M., & Biro, M. A. (2016). The effect of primary midwife-led care on women's experience of childbirth: Results from the COSMOS randomized controlled trial. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology*, 123(3), 465-474. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.13520>
  - McNiven, P., Williams, J. I., & Hodnett, E. (1996). An early trial of continuous support during childbirth: A role for Canadian nurses. *Canadian Journal of Nursing Research Archive*, 28(4), 89-106.
  - Newnham, E. C., McKellar, L. V., & Pincombe, J. I. (2017). Documenting risk: A comparison of policy and information pamphlets for using a birth pool during labor and birth in Australia. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 17(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-017-1447-8>
  - Renfrew, M. J., McFadden, A., Bastos, M. H., Campbell, J., Channon, A. A., Cheung, N. F., ... & Declercq, E. (2014). Midwifery and quality care: Findings from a new evidence-informed framework for maternal and newborn care. *The Lancet*, 384(9948), 1129-1145. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)60789-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60789-3)
  - Sandall, J., Soltani, H., Gates, S., Shennan, A., & Devane, D. (2016). Midwife-led continuity models versus other models of care for childbearing women. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 4, CD004667. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD004667.pub5>
  - Shorten, A., & Shorten, B. (2012). What happens when a woman cannot ask: Informed consent and planned cesarean section. *Nursing Ethics*, 19(4), 521-531. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0969733011433925>



- Tracy, S. K., Hartz, D. L., Tracy, M. B., Allen, J., Forti, A., Hall, B., ... & White, J. (2013). Caseload midwifery care versus standard maternity care for women of any risk: M@NGO, a randomized controlled trial. *The Lancet*, 382(9906), 1723-1732. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)61406-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)61406-3)
- World Health Organization. (2015). WHO Statement on Cesarean Section Rates. World Health Organization. [https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/maternal\\_perinatal\\_health/cs-statement/en/](https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/maternal_perinatal_health/cs-statement/en/)
- Wray, J., & Garside, R. (2016). A qualitative evidence synthesis of women's perceptions and experiences of planned cesarean birth. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth*, 16(1), 71. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-016-0859-7>
- Zwelling, E. (2008). The emergence of high-tech birthing. *The Journal of Perinatal Education*, 17(4), 27-34. <https://doi.org/10.1624/105812408X364072>